

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,181	11/19/2003		D. Lynn Hoover	001228.026	5979
33940	7590	03/23/2006		EXAMINER	
JEFFREY			VANATTA, AMY B		
	BRACEWELL & PATTERSON P.O. BOX 61389			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77208-1389				3765	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/717,181	HOOVER, D. LYNN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amy B. Vanatta	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 h	November 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	<i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)	 □		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 03172006	

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a yarn texturizing system, classified in class 28, subclass 258.
- II. Claims 20-31, drawn to an apparatus to bundle textured yarn, classified in class 28, subclass 217.
- III. Claims 32-33, drawn to a method of producing textured yarn, classified in class 28, subclass 220.
- IV. Claims 34-35, drawn to a method of producing a textured yarn, classified in class 28, subclass 217.
- V. Claims 36-37, drawn to a method of producing a textured yarn, classified in class 28, subclass 247.
- VI. Claims 38-39, drawn to a method of doing business, classified in class 705, subclass 400.
- VII. Claim 40, drawn to a method for increasing production speed, classified in class 28, subclass 220.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2)

Art Unit: 3765

that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require that the bundling be imparted by the rotation of the bundling tube. The subcombination of the bundling apparatus has separate utility such as for bundling a textured yarn which was texturized by a fluid jet texturizer.

Page 3

- 3. Invention I and Inventions III, IV, V, VI, and VII are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by using a pair of crimper rolls or an air jet to texture the yarn, rather than a stuffing chamber.
- 4. Invention II and Inventions III, IV, V, VI, and VII are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practiced by using a yarn bundler which comprises two rotating rolls rather than a tube.
- 5. Inventions III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and

Art Unit: 3765

(2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed in Group III does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the bundling may be performed by air jets or rollers. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a process wherein the bundled yarn is taken up by a roller rather than deposited on a conveyor.

Page 4

- 6. Inventions III and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed in Group III does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the yarn may be supplied to the texturizer at a decreasing speed. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a process of texturizing yarn without bundling the yarn.
- 7. Inventions III and VII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed in Group III does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the bundling of the yarn may be performed without compressing on the conveyer. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a method of bundling yarn that is interlaced, rather than crimped.

Art Unit: 3765

8. Inventions IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination V has separate utility such as for texturizing yarn which is directly taken up, without being bundled. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Page 5

- 9. Inventions VII and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed in Group VII does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the bundling of the yarn may be performed by means of air jets or rollers. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a method in which the production speed is decreased while the quality of the products are increased.
- 10. Invention VI and Inventions III, IV, V, and VII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination VI has separate utility such as use with an air jet bundler or roller bundler.

Subcombinations III, IV, V, and VII have separate utility such as use in business without charging any fees related to production cost savings.

Art Unit: 3765

- 11. Inventions VII and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed in Group VII does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the yarn may be supplied to the texturizer at a decreasing speed. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a process of texturizing yarn without bundling the yarn.
- 12. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, and the inventions require a different field of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

Art Unit: 3765

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy B. Vanatta whose telephone number is 571-272-4995. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 571-272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amy B Vanatta
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3765

Page 7